



**UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
REGION 10**

1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 155  
Seattle, WA 98101

ENFORCEMENT &  
COMPLIANCE ASSURANCE  
DIVISION

Reply To: 20-C04

**CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED**

Mr. Ray Allard  
P.O. Box 410  
Pelican, Alaska 99832

Re: Response to Comment on Proposed Administrative Consent Agreement and Final Order  
*In re: City of Pelican, Alaska, EPA Docket No. CWA-10-2022-0031*

Dear Mr. Allard:

We are writing in response to your comments provided to the Regional Hearing Clerk for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Region 10, by email on January 20, 2022, on the above-referenced administrative Consent Agreement and Proposed Final Order (CA/FO) entered into between EPA and the City of Pelican, Alaska ("City"). As a preliminary matter, we wish to express our appreciation of your participation in the public notice and comment process.

Your comments (excerpted below) appear to be primarily concerned with potential ongoing harms associated with the City's operation of its wastewater treatment facility.

*This city has been violating these laws for decades. The condition of the septic tanks has been that way ie. no insulation, etc. since they were installed as far as I know. How long does the city have until they sign this consent agreement because it's going to take them years to actually fix anything. This has already been causing houses in the city to back up and spew untreated sewage on the ground for at least two years that I know of. They refuse to address the issues that homeowners are experiencing. There are houses with brand new sewer hook ups that don't work because the city's entire sewer system is broken. Right now, there is an open sewer main manhole down on the beach at the end of town filled with sea water for weeks and no one in sight working on it. Thank you.*

In EPA's consideration of your comments, we first note the distinction between the action on which you have submitted a comment, a CA/FO with the sole purpose of identifying the appropriate civil monetary penalty to resolve the alleged Clean Water Act (CWA) violations, and a previous action between the City and EPA requiring the City to take a series of actions to come into compliance with the CWA. That previous action was memorialized in an Administrative Order on Consent (AOC), Docket Number, CWA-10-2021-0148, effective on July 8, 2021. The AOC is enclosed for your information.

Your comments reference the need for repairs to the City's septic tanks. Please note that Section V of the above-referenced AOC requires the City to take a series of compliance measures related to the septic tanks, including but not limited to completing all repairs and replacements on the septic tanks to address any remaining inoperable isolation valves, repairing and replacing any damaged or degraded tank insulation and protective coating and making any other necessary repairs to the tanks, valves and other wastewater treatment plant appurtenances. It is EPA's position that these compliance measures will

help ensure that the City's septic tanks return to compliance with the wastewater treatment facility's National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit.

EPA contacted the City to request more information related to the portion of your comments focused on allegations that the City's actions have "been causing houses in the city to back up and spew untreated sewage on the ground," that the City "refuse[s] to address the issues that homeowners are experiencing," that "[t]here are houses with brand new sewer hook ups that don't work because the city's entire sewer system is broken," and "that there is an open sewer main manhole down on the beach at the end of town filled with sea water for weeks."

In response to your comment that the City's actions have "been causing houses in the city to back up and spew untreated sewage on the ground," EPA specifically requested that the City provide a summary of recent releases of untreated or partially treated sewage from the City's sanitary sewer collection system, including releases to ground, surface waters and sewage back-ups into homes, residential dwellings, buildings and any other structures. These are commonly known as sanitary sewer overflows (SSOs). The City provided two examples of reported SSOs since January 1, 2019, but acknowledged the possibility that if an individual customer service line fails, the City may not always be notified. However, the City committed to EPA that it responds to and investigates every reported SSO.

According to the City, an SSO event occurred in May 2021, resulting from snow falling from a roof onto a sewer line, causing the line to break. The City apparently responded to that SSO event and completed necessary actions to address the issue within two business days. The other SSO event reported by the City involved a manhole on a beach that will be more fully discussed below.

EPA requested that the City provide a response to your comment that the City "refuse[s] to address the issues that homeowners are experiencing." According to the City, when it receives a public complaint related to the sanitary sewer collection system, the City's public works department is tasked with identifying the cause of the problem and, if appropriate, correcting the problem. The City commits to EPA that all public complaints are appropriately investigated. As an example, the City describes a report from a homeowner stating that fumes were backing up into their residence. In response, the City hired a licensed plumbing contractor to evaluate the customer's service sewer line and the licensed contractor apparently concluded that the drainage system of the residence was not properly vented. According to the City, the customer was notified of the contractor's findings.

In response to your comment that "[t]here are houses with brand new sewer hook ups that don't work because the city's entire sewer system is broken," the City stated to EPA that it has assisted with new customer sewer line service hookups and claims that these systems are operational. The City informed EPA that they are not aware of any operational issues associated with these new sewer hookups.

Lastly, the City provided EPA with a response to your comment that "that there is an open sewer main manhole down on the beach at the end of town filled with sea water for weeks." The City acknowledged to EPA that it discovered that a manhole cover was temporarily dislodged on a manhole located on "Pelican Flats," an area apparently subject to inundation during high tide. According to the City, the manhole cover was temporarily dislodged by a log that had drifted against the manhole and caused the cover to move. The log was apparently anchored on the "Flats" by a local property owner. Once the

City discovered the problem, it had to wait until tidal conditions were appropriate to implement a solution. The manhole cover was apparently fastened to prevent further seawater intrusion and more permanent repairs will occur once the City is able to obtain the necessary supplies. In addition to these permanent repairs, the City intends to notify residents that they should not tow logs in close vicinity to the manhole and will turn off relevant pumps during high tide events to ensure that the seawater will not reach the City's sanitary sewer collection system.

EPA has fully considered your material comments and has determined that they do not require any modification to the CA/FO. As stated above, EPA believes that the AOC requiring the City to implement a number of compliance measures will ensure that the City will return to compliance with the wastewater treatment facility's NPDES permit. Additionally, your comments do not directly suggest that a modification to the agreed-upon civil penalty within the CA/FO is appropriate. As stated in Paragraph 4.3 of the CA/FO and as required by CWA Section, 309(g)(3), 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(3), when determining the appropriate civil penalty resolving this matter, EPA has taken into account "the nature, circumstances, extent and gravity of the violation, or violations, and, with respect to the violator, ability to pay, any prior history of such violations, the degree of culpability, economic benefit or savings (if any) resulting from the violation, and such other matters as justice may require." EPA has determined that the agreed-upon civil penalty adequately considered the required statutory factors relevant to the alleged CWA violations and will serve to deter any future CWA violations by the City.

EPA's Consolidated Rules of Practice at, 40 C.F.R. Part 22, that govern these proceedings require we provide you a copy of the proposed CA/FO by certified mail. 40 C.F.R. § 22.45(c)(4). Within 30 days of your receipt of the enclosed proposed CA/FO, you may petition the Regional Administrator for EPA Region 10, to have it set aside on the basis that *material evidence* was not considered. The contact information for the Regional Administrator is:

Michelle Pirzadeh, Acting Regional Administrator  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10  
1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 155  
Seattle, Washington 98101  
[pirzadeh.michelle@epa.gov](mailto:pirzadeh.michelle@epa.gov)

Should you decide to submit a petition, please also send a courtesy copy to our attorney, Patrick Johnson, at the below contact information:

Patrick Johnson, Assistant Regional Counsel  
Office of Regional Counsel  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10  
Alaska Operations Office  
222 West 7th Avenue, #19  
Anchorage, Alaska 99513-7588  
[johnson.patrick@epa.gov](mailto:johnson.patrick@epa.gov)

Our Consolidated Rules of Practice at, 40 C.F.R. § 22.45(c)(4), also require that you provide a copy of your petition to the City at the below contact information:

Patricia Phillips, Mayor  
City of Pelican, Alaska  
P.O. Box 737  
Pelican, Alaska 99832  
[MayorPhillips@pelicancity.org](mailto:MayorPhillips@pelicancity.org)

Lattieca Stewart, City Clerk  
City of Pelican, Alaska  
P.O. Box 737  
Pelican, Alaska 99832  
[cityclerk@pelicancity.org](mailto:cityclerk@pelicancity.org)

In accordance with, 40 C.F.R. § 22.45(c)(4), please do not send any such petition to the Regional Hearing Clerk for EPA Region 10.

Thank you again for your comments. Regardless of whether you file a petition, please note that your comments were considered and are included in this matter's administrative record. If you have any questions, you may contact Rick Cool, of my staff, at (206) 553-6223 or at [cool.richard@epa.gov](mailto:cool.richard@epa.gov). For any legal questions, you may contact Patrick Johnson, in the Office of Regional Counsel, at (907) 271-3914 or [johnson.patrick@epa.gov](mailto:johnson.patrick@epa.gov).

Sincerely,

Edward J. Kowalski  
Director

Enclosures